Internal Revenue Scrvice memorandum

date: JAN 18 1991

to: Director, Internal Revenue Service Center

Kansas City, MO

Attn: Entity Control

from: Technical Assistant

Employee Benefits and Exempt Organizations

subject: CC:EE:3 - TR-45-975-90

Railroad Retirement Tax Act Status

Attached for your information and appropriate action is a copy of a letter dated June 26, 1990, from the Railroad Retirement Board concerning the status under the Railroad Retirement Act and the Railroad Unemployment Tax Act of the following:



The information submitted states that On

transferred all of its stock to the

formerly named

The information further states that, at the time of the transfer, had no employees and that it was not dissolved but rather became a subsidiary of

Director, Internal Revenue Service Center

We have reviewed the opinion of the Board and, based solely upon the information submitted, concur in the conclusion reached by the Board that is merely a real estate holding company and it does not perform any service in connection with the transportation of passengers or property by railroad. Accordingly, the company ceased to be an employer under the Acts on the company to the company to the company ceased to be an employer under the Acts on the company ceased to be an employer under the company ceased to be an employer

Furthermore, based solely on the information submitted, we concur in the conclusion reached by the Board that is not an employer under the Railroad Retirement and Railroad Unemployment Insurance Acts.

Finally, based solely on the information submitted, we concur in the conclusion reached by the Board that is not an employer under Acts as it was not under common control with a railroad employer and it did not perform services in connection with the transportation of passengers or property by railroad.

(Signed) Ronald L. Moore

Attachment: Copy of letter from the Railroad Retirement Board

cc: Mr. Gary Kuper
Internal Revenue Service
200 South Hanley
Clayton, MO 63105

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD 844 RUSH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611

BUREAU OF LAW

Assistant Chief Counsel
(Employee Benefits and
Exempt Organizations)
Internal Revenue Service
1111 Constitution Avenue., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20224

JUN 26 1990

Attention: CC:IND:1:3

Dear Sir:

In accordance with the coordination procedure established between the Internal Revenue Service and this Board, I am enclosing for your information a copy of an opinion in which I have expressed my determination as to the status under the Railroad Retirement and Railroad Unemployment Insurance Acts of the following:

Sincerely yours,

Steven A. Bartholow Deputy General Counsel

TO Director of Research and Employment Accounts	
FROM : Deputy General Counsel	
SUBJECT: Employer Status	
This is in response to your Forms G-215 of January 19, 1989, January 31, 1989, and February 6, 1989, requesting my opinion regarding the employer status of the above-captioned companies. has been an employer under the Railroad Retirement and Railroad Jnemployment Insurance Acts since Opinion Legal	
According to Legal Opinion L- a company called (hereinafter ") which had been held to be an employer under the Acts since (BA No.), transferred some of its operations and all of its employees to the remaining portion of was renamed Legal Opinion L- found that had ceased to be an employer as of (BA No.).	
transferred all of its stock to the (hereinafter "), a corporation formerly named , and one of the companies presently under review. According to a letter dated from of the had no employees when its stock was transferred t . According to was not dissolved as a result of the transfer, nor was it merged into transferred t ; rather, it became a subsidiary of the directors and/or officers of the directors and/or officers and/or of	
is a holding company; is the wholly-owned railroad subsidiary of the holding company, and has been an employer under the Acts since (BA No.).	

Director of Research and Employment Accounts

According to a letter dated for at the time of the transfer was merely a corporation established for the purpose of holding real estate that was not encumbered by the Railroad Mortgages. Generally these properties were considered development properties." It is a stated that did not have any employees at the time of the stock transfer, no employees were transferred to and does not have any employees now."27
As you know, the definition of an employer contained in section l(a) of the Railroad Retirement Act (45 U.S.C. \S 231(a)(1)) reads in part as follows:
"The term 'employer' shall include
"(i) anv express company, sleeping-car company, and carrier by railroad, subject to part I of the Interstate Commerce Act;
"(ii) any company which is directly or indirectly owned or controlled by, or under common control with, one or more employers as defined in paragraph (i) of this subdivision, and which operates any equipment or facility or performs any service (except trucking service, casual service, and the casual operation of equipment or facilities) in connection with the transportation of passengers or property by railroad, or the receipt, delivery, elevation, transfer in transit, refrigeration or icing, storage, or handling of property transported by railroad * * *."
In view of the information provided by and and it is my opinion that while is under common control with an employer (i.e. it shares officers and/or directors with a covered employer) it is
merely a real estate holding company and it does not perform any service in connection with the transporation of passengers or property by railroad. According, ceased to be an employer under the Acts effective the date its stock was transferred to
In a letter dated described as follows:

^{2/} It is noted that officers of a corporation are considered employees of that entity.

Director of Research and Employment Accounts

"We are the and management and development subsidiary of a publicly-traded. diversified holding company, which is owned in part by We focus our attention on maximizing return on non-operating real properties owned and our affiliates for the purpose of developing such properties for their highest and best use. We engage in commercial, office, retail, and single and multi-family residential development projects, either independently or with joint venture development partners. <u>We also manage</u> properties under lease, including over acres of grazing land and farmland. As a land developer and manager, we do not engage in transportation or handling of property or persons by railroad."

From the information provided by it is clear that is not under common control with a covered employer. Furthermore, the service provided by is not service in connection with the transporation of passengers or property by rail. Accordingly, it is my opinion that is not an employer within the meaning of the Railroad Retirement and Railroad Unemployment Insurance Acts.

Prior to was known as incoporated in Delaware on and the Articles of Incorporation for state that the purpose of the corporation is to "engage in all the activities of a real estate developer."

According to sletter of was a subsidiary of a Certificate of Amendment of its Certificate of Incorporation was filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware, changing sname to Prior to the name change, had approximately employees and employees became employees of Prior to

managed selected real estate assets not used for railroad operations. It's [sic] objective was to realize a higher financial return from those assets through predevelopment activities, joint venture agreements, and property sales. did not engage in any service relating to the railroad transportation of passengers or property".3/

^{3/} It is noted that annuitant receiving retirement benefits under the Act, alleges that he should be credited with railroad service and

Director of Research and Employment Accounts

In view of the information provided by the provided by the proof of the prior to becoming the prior to be property by railroad.

Appropriate Forms G-215 giving effect to the foregoing are attached.

Steven A. Bartholow

Attachments

3/ (continued)

to to the services performed for the services performed for the services performed for the services. While the services has provided copies of numerous magazine and newspaper articles, alleged transcripts of telephone conversations and affidavits of various people stating that the services performed "railroad work", none of the companies involved.